

INSTRUCTIONS: Please circle and/or fill in all applicable blanks below. Please be sure your answers are truthful, clear, complete, and legible. PLEASE RETURN YOUR COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO THE MANITOWISH CHAIN DEFENSE FUND USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE (mailing address is c/o Brennan Steil, S.C., 1 E. Milwaukee St., Janesville, Wisconsin, 53545). Please also feel free to distribute copies of your completed questionnaire to your elected representative(s) and whomever else you see fit. Thank you!

TO: John Simonson, Wisconsin DNR c/o Manitowish Chain Defense Fund

RE: Comment on Wisconsin DNR's proposed change to operating order for the Rest Lake Dam

FROM: Name: Catherine + Paul Gambino
Mailing Address: 5355 Winding Creek Dr - Rockford, IL 61114
Phone Number: 815-877-8991 - 815-222-9375
Email: paubino@gmail.com

I (own/lease) property on (Rest/Stone/Fawn/Clear/Spider/Island/Manitowish/Little Star/Wild Rice/Alder) Lake of the Manitowish Chain at the mailing address of SAME as above. I have (owned/leased) this property for 42 years. My property has lake frontage totaling 103' feet.

PIERS: Over the time I have owned my property, I have invested at least \$ 2000 to 3000 on installing and/or maintaining 2 pier(s) on my property. My pier(s) (is/are) 305 Long feet long. When water in the Chain reaches the normal summer water level of 8'6" on the Rest Lake Dam gauge, the deep end of my pier(s) reaches water that is 4.5± feet deep. In order for me to safely dock a boat at the pier(s) and access the Manitowish Chain from my property, the water level of the Manitowish Chain needs to be at least 8'± feet at the Rest Lake Dam gauge. When the water level falls below that, I have the following problems navigating on the Manitowish Chain (describe any and all problems you may experience):

The Clear Lake / Fawn Lake bridge - is very difficult to navigate a lower summer marks. Also as water recedes from summer highs stumps + submerged rocks become a problem - causing at times to need to repair or replace props - also a time or two replacing rear drives on boats

BOAT LIFTS AND BOATHOUSES: Over the time I have owned my property, I have invested at least \$ 1000 to 3000 on installing and maintaining one boat lift(s) and _____ boathouses on my property.

SHORELINE PROTECTION STRUCTURES (check boxes and fill in applicable blanks): Over the time I have owned my property, I have invested at least \$ 0 on installing and/or maintaining the following shoreline protection structures on my property:

- _____ feet of seawall which is constructed out of _____.
- _____ feet of rock riprap.
- Other: _____

INVESTMENTS IN STRUCTURES AND POTENTIAL ICE DAMAGE: Presently, I take the following action(s) to protect my (piers/boat lift(s)/boathouse(s)/shoreline protection structures) from ice damage in the winter (please describe all steps you take, why you take those steps, and what the steps cost each year; or, if you take no action, please state that and explain why you take no action):

I take out about 25' of pier each fall on my pier

COMMENTARY ON DNR'S PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE REST LAKE DAM OPERATING ORDER.

I understand the DNR is proposing to modify the operating order for the Rest Lake Dam such that (1) summer water levels would fluctuate between a 7'0" minimum and 8'6" maximum, (2) the traditional winter drawdown would be eliminated and/or significantly reduced, so that winter levels would never go below 7'6" in the winter, and (3) summer flows over the dam would not be restricted unless and until levels in the Chain drop to 7'0". With regard to that proposal, I offer the following comments to the DNR (check each applicable box and provide any explanation for your answer):

Overall, I (support/oppose) the DNR's proposed changes to the Rest Lake Dam operating order because: I don't understand the Need - I've lived on the Chain for 42 years and presently have problems with depth

I cannot understand the Need for such changes which are going to cause \$ millions of Dollars to current ~~land~~ property owners of value to their lake properties

If the summer water level on the Chain is allowed to fall below the 8'4" to 8'6" range that historically has predominated on the Chain, I will experience the following problems and costs (describe any problems you will have with navigability and your enjoyment of the Chain; if your problems will be worse at lower water levels, please indicate the types of problems you will have at each water level): See other side of letter

If the winter water level is kept at As proposed or higher, I will be forced to take the following actions in order to protect my structures from potential ice damage (please fill in the blank to identify the water level at which you will have problems with ice damage, describe the problems you would have with ice damage, describe the steps you would take to try to prevent ice damage, state the estimated cost of taking those steps, and state whether you believe those steps would be successful):

I offer the following additional comments for the DNR to consider:

I've gone to meetings on this subject presented concerned citizens - AND DNR Reps.

The excuse for ~~the~~ such action like spawning to some ~~species~~ species of fish who have occupied the Chain all of these years (about 72 years) AND they have survived all this time. The cost of such action is NOT justified when weigh against the lost value to owners,

Signed: Paul M Gambino
Print Name: PAUL GAMBINO + CATHERINE GAMBINO
Date: 9-11-12